IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTIETH DAY OF JULY
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE S.ANANDA REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 1165 of 1997

Between:

1. B. Gopichand, S/o. Kodandaramanaidu,

aged 33 years, Assistant Executive Engineer,

Ananthapur District Scheduled Castes service

Co-operative Society Ltd., Anantapur.

2. S. Somasekhar, S/o. S. Neelakantappa,

Aged 33 years, Assistant Executive Engineer,

Anantapur District Scheduled Castes Service

Co-operative Society Ltd., Anantapur. ..... PETITIONERS

AND

1. The Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Castes

Finance Corporation Ltd., represented by Managing

Director, Masab Tank, Hyderabad.

2. The Anantapur District Scheduled Castes

Service Co-operative Society Ltd., Anantapur, A.P.,

Represented by its Chairman/Collector.

3. B. Manikyam, Executive Engineer,

Anantapur District Scheduled Castes Service

Co-operative Society Ltd., Anantapur. **.....RESPONDENTS**

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus and direct the respondents to fill up the posts of Deputy Executive Engineers in the Engineering wing of the 1st respondent society in terms the

provisions contained in the "Adhoc service Rules for the Technical staff of Engineering wing of APSCOFC Limited" and to consider the claim of the petitioners for regular promotion as Deputy Executive Engineers as per their seniority and eligibility will all consequential benefits.

Counsel for the Petitioners : MR.P.NAVEEN RAO, Advocate

Counsel for Respondent No.3 : MR.M.V.S.SURESH KUMAR, Advocate

The Court made the following ORDER:

This writ petition is filed by the petitioner aggrieved by the action of the respondents in not framing appropriate Rules governing the service conditions of the employees of the 1st respondent-corporation, especially, the engineering staff and also in not promoting the petitioners to the post of Deputy Executive Engineer, instead of resorting to recruit the candidates by deputation.

2. At the time of hearing it is represented by the learned Counsel for the petitioners that subsequent to the filing of the present writ petition, the 1st respondent - corporation has framed appropriate Rules governing the service conditions as well as the promotions etc., and also considered the cases of the internal candidates for promotion to the post of Deputy Executive Engineers.

3. In that view of the matter, the present writ petition does not survive, and the same is accordingly closed. No costs.

_____

S. Ananda Reddy, J.

Dt. 20…07…2004

RNS/kvh

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. ANANDA REDDY

W.P. NO. 1165 OF 1997

ORAL ORDER

20…07…2004